

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,214	06/21/2001	Frank Melzer	LO25-003	8415	
21567	7590 09/24/2002				
WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S.			EXAMINER		
601 W. FIRS SUITE 1300		SHAFER, RICKY D			
SPOKANE,	WA 99201-3828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	?	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	C9/888,21	4 /220	ELZER ET Group Art Unit 2872	AL
Omoo Action Cammary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	16.67)	117286	2812	
— The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ears on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence addr	ess-
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE I MUN	シンソ MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAIL!	NG DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, a reply within the statutor fault, expire StX (6) MONT statute, cause the applica	ry minimum of thirty (HS from the mailing o ation to become ABAI	30) days will be consider late of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	ed timely. on. 3).
Status	. 1 1			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6/21/01			
☐ This action is FINAL .	1 1			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as to 213.	to the merits is clos	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
▼ Claim(s) 1 - 2		is/are p	pending in the applica	ation.
Of the above claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are r	ejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	objected to.	
X Claim(s)				election
Application Papers	:- 🗆	require		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of		• •	ea.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	Djected to by the Exam	imer		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	_			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19 (a)(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee 		ion No		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been	, ,		•	
in this national stage application from the Internation				
*Certified copies not received:	•			•
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)	☐ Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			mal Patent Application	n, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-	-948			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ _
Office	e Action Summary			

Art Unit: 2872

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A). The species depicted by Fig. 2;
- B). The species depicted by Fig. 3; and
- C). The species depicted by Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, several claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,214

Art Unit: 2872

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

September 21, 2002

Rufully 2012